


Message sent to the following recipients:
Senator Sarbanes
Senator Mikulski
Message text follows:

Dan Metzman
15300 Pine Orchard Dr.
Silver Spring, MD 20906-1358

ORIGINAL



September 10, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

Dear [recipient name was inserted here],

CC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and
NSD File No. L-00-72.

I am opposed to the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund. I urge the FCC to carefully consider the impact of these changes on consumers before changing the current system. Charging \$1 per month for all wireless phones will greatly increase the cost of cell phone service and it could impact the ability for myself and others to afford my wireless service.

The USF was created to make phone service affordable in rural America and was updated to increase the availability of communication services to schools, libraries, rural health centers, educational institutions and low-income individuals in the United States. Now you want to change it and I do not think it is fair to charge everybody \$1 dollar per month regardless of how much or how little they use their wireless phone for interstate calls.

The proposed change is especially unfair for low-volume users that rely on wireless service for safety and security, and who make few, if any, long distance calls. The current contribution system is fair, equitable and nondiscriminatory and should be left alone. Please do not penalize wireless phone customers. Keep this fair. We don't have a blanket income tax on our annual salaries nor do we have the same sales tax on a pack of gum and an automobile, so why should there be a "one size fits all" charge for wireless phones?

Sincerely,

Dan Metzman


No of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

senator@breadx.senate.gov; senator@rockefeller.senate.gov; senator@dogan.senate.gov;
senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator_carnahan@camahan.senate.gov;
conrad_burns@burns.senate.gov; senatorlott@lott.senate.gov;
senator@hutchison.senate.gov; olympia@snowe.senate.gov; oregon@gsmith.senate.gov;
tellupton@mail.house.gov; christopher.cox@mail.house.gov; ask.heather@mail.house.gov;
vito.fossella@mail.house.gov; blunt@mail.house.gov; tom.davis@mail.house.gov;
ehrlrich@mail.house.gov; talk2lee@mail.house.gov; ninthnet@mail.house.gov
Universal Service Fee Complaint

Subject:

<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>09/12/03
<NAME>gary gonsalves
<ADDRESS1>16319 E. NEBRASKA AVE.
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>REEDLEY
<STATE>CA
<ZIP>93654
<LAW-FIRM>n/a
<ATTORNEY>n/a
<FILE-NUMBER>n/a
<DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO
<PHONE-NUMBER>559-897-4671
<DESCRIPTION>Universal Service Fund Complaint
<CONTACT-EMAIL>SANDPIT8@JUNO.COM
<TEXT>

ORIGINAL



Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Room 8B201
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1000 phone

Chairman Michael K. Powell
Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

Reference: FCC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and NSD
File No. L-00-72.

Dear FCC:

I am writing to complain about the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund and requesting that the FCC investigate this matter further before changing the current policy. Your proposed \$1.00 per month charge for all wireless phones will directly impact my ability to retain my wireless service.

I do not think it is fair to charge EVERYBODY \$1.00 dollar regardless of how they use their wireless phone, especially for a low-volume user that relies on wireless service for safety and security, not interstate calls. The current policy is fair, based on interstate usage, and should be left alone. Please do not penalize us. Keep this fair.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please provide a written response indicating the status/resolution of this matter.

Very truly yours,

gary gonsalves
16319 E. NEBRASKA AVE.

CC: FCC Subcommittee Members

No. of Copies rec'd 2
List ABCDE

<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>10/06/03
<NAME>Thomas A. Linkchorst
<ADDRESS1>478 W. Frack St.
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Frackville
<STATE>PA
<ZIP>17931
<LAW-FIRM>n/a
<ATTORNEY>n/a
<FILE-NUMBER>n/a
<DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO
<PHONE-NUMBER>570-874-4436
<DESCRIPTION>Universal Service Fund Complaint
<CONTACT-EMAIL>tal1957@schuylkill.com
<TEXT>

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

NOV 06 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Room 8B201
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1000 phone

Chairman Michael K. Powell
Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

Reference: FCC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and NSD
File No. L-00-72.

Dear FCC:

I am writing to complain about the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund and requesting that the FCC investigate this matter further before changing the current policy. Your proposed \$1.00 per month charge for all wireless phones will directly impact my ability to retain my wireless service.


I do not think it is fair to charge EVERYBODY \$1.00 dollar regardless of how they use their wireless phone, especially for a low-volume user that relies on wireless service for safety and security, not interstate calls. The current policy is fair, based on interstate usage, and should be left alone. Please do not penalize us. Keep this fair.

Dear FCC:

I have and keep my wireless service for EMERGENCY use ONLY! I'm not like most of the people who use it for work and nonsense talk. I even pull over to the side of the road WHEN I do use it. And I keep it turned off until I do need to use it. I use my pager the most, the next thing your going to do is charge the U.S.F. for them.

Thank you for hearing me out.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please provide a written response indicating the status/resolution of this matter.



'
(
' Very truly yours,

Thomas A. Linkchorst
478 W. Frack St.

CC: FCC Subcommittee Members

